

# <u>City of Granile City</u>

Inspection Department

2000 Edison, Ground Floor Granite City, IL 62040 Phone: (618) 452-6218 Fax: (618) 452-6246

## **Board of Appeals** MINUTES January 2, 2013

The Granite City Board of Appeals met on Wednesday, January 2, 2013. Chairman Sam Akeman called the meeting to Order at 7:00 PM.

#### ROLL CALL

Members Present: Sam Akeman, Debbie Smith, Kayleen Johnston, Evelyn Harris and Kimberly Benda and Kitty Reither.

#### COMMENTS/SWEAR IN

The Chair welcomed everyone and stated the Board of Appeals is a recommending body to the City Council and the Council will have final determination at the next regularly scheduled meeting on January 15, 2013. The Petitioner, Richard Presswood, was sworn in.

#### MINUTES / AGENDA

A motion to approve the Minutes from the previous regularly scheduled meeting held on November 7, 2012, and this evening's Agenda, was made by Evelyn Harris and seconded by Kitty Reither. Voice vote. All ayes. Motion carried.

PETITIONER:

Richard Presswood 2808 Indiana Avenue

Parcel ID: 22-2-20-07-20-403-013

The Chairman introduced the Petitioner's request for a zero side setback variance in order to construct a carport in front of the existing garage. Mr. Akeman noted there was no one in the audience to speak either "for" or "against" the request.

Mr. Presswood he would like the carport over the existing driveway leading to the garage and stated he owns the two lots that are adjacent to the property where the carport will be constructed. Discussion followed.

Ms. Reither stated she has driven by the area and noted there is either a garage or a carport at most residences, however, did not see both (a garage and a carport).

Debbie Smith noted from the Petitioner's sketch that flashing and guttering are apparent so rainwater should not be a problem.

The Chair requested a stipulation be included for an 8 foot setback requirement next to the adjacent property and asked the Petitioner if that would be problematic. The Petitioner agreed that would not be a problem.

The Board of Appeals Criteria Checklist was completed. Response as follows:

- 1. The proposed variance is consistent with the general purposes of Zoning Code : Article 1. Section 1-100; and
- 2. strict application of the district requirements would result in great practical difficulties or hardship to the applicant, and prevent a reasonable return on the property: **No**
- 3. The proposed variance is the minimum deviation from such requirements that will alleviate the difficulties / hardship, and allow a reasonable return on the property: Yes
- 4. The plight of the applicant is due to peculiar circumstances not of his own making: Yes
- 5. The peculiar circumstances of the variance request are not applicable to other property within the district, and therefore, a variance would be a more appropriate remedy than an amendment (i.e. re-zoning): Yes
- 6. The variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the area, nor materially frustrate implementation of this municipality's comprehensive plan: No

**MOTION** by Kitty Reither and seconded by Debbie Smith to approve the Petitioner's request as presented and allow a zero side setback allowance for construction of a carport, covering existing driveway, leading to the garage. Roll Call vote. All ayes. Motion carried by unanimous consent.

#### **NEW BUSINESS**

None

#### **UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

None

**MOTION TO ADJOURN** made by Sam Akeman and seconded by Debbie Smith. Voice vote. All ayes. Motion carried.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara Hawkins

Secretary, Board of Appeals

## BOARD OF APPEALS ADVISORY REPORT January 2, 2013

PETITIONER: Richard Presswood
LOCATION: 2808 Indiana Avenue
REQUEST: Zero side setback variance

**Motion** by Kitty Reither and seconded by Debbie Smith to grant Petitioner's request for a zero side setback variance to allow construction of a carport (adjacent to lots owned by Petitioner). District Zoned R-2.

### **ROLL CALL:**

Sam AkemanYesDebbie SmithYesKayleen JohnstonYesEvelyn HarrisYesKim BendaYesKitty ReitherYes

All yes. Motion carried unanimously